



Croatia 2015 Country Summary

Recommendations

Croatia's GI ranking in Band C places it in the moderate risk category for corruption in the defence and security sector. The highest risk area is Operations, which fell in Band E (very high risk of corruption).

Defence Procurement

There is a significant level of opacity regarding defence procurement and tendering, although this has been gradually improving. Some elements of the defence procurement cycle are disclosed in detail; other elements, though openly disclosed, are only summarized or are otherwise less clear. A limited amount of procurement information is published online and the criteria to determine whether information is published are not clear. The MoD has been publishing information on plans for procurement and details of previous procurements for the last few years. It should disclose all aspects in full, and ensure that mechanisms provide continuous independent control over the public procurement procedures for defence. It should also review its processes to ensure that procurements remain unclassified by default unless there is specific justifiable need to do so.

Internal rules on procurement have also not been published, although the MoD has reportedly adopted some. It should publish these for independent verification, and make its records of steps taken in the preparation and implementation of public procurements publicly available.

There are no regulations regarding the selection and activity of tender board commissions, and it is unclear how the members of the public procurement unit inside the MoD are selected. These should be made publicly available. There are examples when the government has established an inter-sector committee comprised of high ranking state officials for larger procurement contracts — at least one of these (the Patria vehicle procurement) has resulted in a major international scandal without any official indictment.

The government must establish formal contractual requirements for contractors and subcontractors to implement compliance programmes. There is currently no evidence of any formal requirement to do so, nor that anticorruption clauses be included in contracts.

Croatia does not regulate the role of possible agents and intermediaries in the public procurement procedure. Their eventual role must not go out of boundaries of the existing legal framework regulating public procurement (and also the Penal Code) but there is no specific regulation of this area.





Detailed information on financial packages surrounding contracts is not available. The MoD is only obliged to publish the total agreed amount and implementation period for unclassified contracts, within 48 days of signing the contract.

The media has criticised the MOD for avoiding reporting obligations by dispersing procurement contracts via several smaller contracts (or via a consortium). This practice should be halted and a clear, practical policy implemented to prevent it from occurring in future.

Intelligence spending

Very little information is available on spending across the security and intelligence sector. Research found that the only data that has been made publicly available is a total budget for each institution. Furthermore, the Military Security Intelligence Agency budget has also been spread across different items in the MoD budget and cannot be estimated. It is possible that the intelligence agencies are able to conduct off—budget expenditure.

Offset Programmes

Croatia is engaging in offset programs, however there are no regulations requiring transparency and they remain almost entirely secret. Offsets are currently regulated by an 'Instruction' which carried less legal weight than an act and gives power to the Minister of Defence and Minister of Economy to directly negotiate conditions with the contractor. This is a significant risk that must be addressed. Croatia could consider adopting the provisions of the European Defence Agency Code of Conduct to enhance transparency and effectiveness of offset contracts.

Appointments and Promotions

The appointment process for senior intelligence officials is unclear. Intelligence agencies do not publish advertisements prior to hiring, and information on recruitment for senior intelligence service posts is not available on the service's website. There are no clear criteria published for senior positions.

It is unclear if candidates are appropriately investigated to establish suitability. Media reports in 2014 indicated that intelligence officers had been employed without security clearances. Official investigations by the Parliamentary Committee on National Security have found strong irregularities in employment procedures. Although the Intelligence Agency has reported that new rules on employment procedures have been introduced, these rules are classified.

Whistle-blower Protection

There is no evidence of efforts to promote whistle-blowing of corruption cases in the defence and security sector. Some weak provisions on whistleblowing are integrated in the Penal Code. However, NGOs and media have reported on several cases when whistle





blowers were punished after they have disclosed information on corruption. Proactive steps must be taken that protect and encourage whistleblowing.

Initiatives from some NGOs and opposition parties as well as from the president of Croatia for adopting an Act on whistle-blowers have not been welcomed by the government, which has stated that current legislation is good enough for whistle-blower protection.

Scorecard

Political	Defence & Security Policy	Legislative Scrutiny	3
		Defence Committee	3
		Defence Policy Debated	3
		CSO Engagement	2
		International AC Instruments	2
		Public Debate	3
		AC Policy	2
		AC Institutions	2
		Public Trust	2
		Risk Assessments	2
	Defence budgets	Acquisition Planning	2
		Budget Transparency & Detail	3
		Budget Scrutiny	2
		Budget Publicly Available	2
		Defence Income	3
		Internal Audit	2
		External Audit	3
	Other Political Areas	Natural Resources	4
		Organised Crime Links	2
		Organised Crime Policing	2
		Intelligence Services Oversight	2
		Intelligence Services Recruitment	1
		Export Controls	2
Finance	Asset Disposals	Asset Disposal Controls	2
		Asset Disposal Scrutiny	2
	Secret Budgets	Percentage Secret Spending	1
		Legislative Access to Information	3
		Secret Program Auditing	3
		Off-budget Spending in Law	4
		Off-budget Spending in Practice	
		Information Classification	3
	Links to Business	Mil. Owned Businesses Exist	2
		Mil. Owned Business Scrutiny	2
		Unauthorised Private Enterprise	4
Personnel	Leadership	Public Commitment	2
		Measures for Corrupt Personnel	2
		Whistleblowing	1
		Special Attention to Sensitive Personnel	2





	Payroll and Recruitment	Numbers of Personnel Known	4
		Pay Rates Openly Published	3
		Well-established Payment System	3
		Objective Appointments	2
		Objective Promotions	2
	Conscription	Bribery to Avoid Compulsory	
		Conscription	
		Bribery for Preferred Postings	2
	Salary Chain	Ghost Soldiers	3
		Chains of Command and Payment	4
	Values, Standards, Other	Code of Conduct Coverage	1
		Code of Conduct Breaches Addressed	1
		AC Training	0
		Prosecution Outcomes Transparent	2
		Facilitation Payments	2
Operations	Controls in the Field	Military Doctrine	1
		Operational Training	1
		AC Monitoring	1
		Controls on Contracting	0
		Private Military Contractors	2
Procurement	Government Policy	Legislation	2
		Transparent Procurement Cycle	2
		Oversight Mechanisms	2
		Purchases Disclosed	3
		Standards Expected of Companies	1
	Capability Gap	Strategy Drives Requirements	2
		Requirements Quantified	3
	Tendering	Open Competition v. Single-Sourcing	3
		Tender Board Controls	2
		Anti-Collusion Controls	2
	Contract Delivery /	Procurement Staff Training	3
	Support	Complaint Mechanisms for Firms	3
		Sanctions for Corruption	1
	Offsets	Due Diligence	0
		Transparency	0
		Competition Regulation	0
	Other	Controls of Agents	0
		Transparency of Financing Packages	0
		Subsidiaries / Sub-Contractors	0
		Political Influence	3